

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,238	STANLEY ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Vincent Q. Nguyen	2858
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with the (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communica IGHTS. This application is subje	application. If not included tion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>NOtice of Appeal Brie</u>	ef 7/21/2005.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-19</u> .		
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>30 November 2003</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.	
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in t	o his national stage application from the
noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	.,	ED'S AMENDMENT OF NOTICE OF
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the state of the sheet.	son's Patent Drawing Review (P s Amendment / Comment or in th .84(c)) should be written on the dr	ne Office action of awings in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summ	
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	Paper No./Mail 08), 7. Examiner's Ame	Date endment/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ☐ Examiner's State 9. ☑ Other <u>Pre-Appe</u> VINCENT Q. NGUN PRIMARY EXAMIN	Vincent Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2858

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/707,238		STANLEY ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
Manage Committee	Edward Lefkowitz	2855	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>7-21-05</u>.

 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pi☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run for the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No.	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this derunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cl Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) Edward Lefkowitz.	(3)Vincent Nguyen.
(2) Art Grimley.	(4)